SUPERVISOR/ADMINISTRATIVE PROFESSIONAL CHECKLIST

☐ NEW SEPTIC ☑ REPAIR ☐ USE EXISTING ☐ MODIFIED PLOT PLAN ☐ ALTERATION

File Number: 12064B / 14976

Assigned Inspector: April

☐ IP $250.00: _____ Initial/Date

☐ IP Commercial $450.00: _____ Initial/Date

☐ CA & OP $400.00: _____ Initial/Date

☐ Alteration Fees $300: _____ Initial/Date

☐ Modified Plot Plan Fees $100.00: _____ Initial/Date

☐ Use Existing Fees $100.00: _____ Initial/Date

☐ Use Existing Approved ☐ Use Existing Denied

☐ Send IP permit for signature: _____

☐ Floor plans reviewed

☐ Generate CA ☐ Approve

☐ This site is served by septic and well. Ve

☐ This septic system requires a certified o

☐ Certified operator contract is on file: _

☐ Septic file complete: _____

☐ Release final hold in Winchester: _____

Please send a copy to:

mora.ashokpratap@gmail.com

along w/ a copy to the owners.

AB

Comments:

Repair permit denied. Please send denial letter

Sent email copies 10/7/24 (a)

MECKLENBURG COUNTY
North Carolina
Public Health

Mecklenburg County Public Health

Raynard Washington, PhD, MPH
Health Director

IMPROVEMENT PERMIT DENIAL

October 4, 2024

Michael Bailey & Deanna Wade-Bailey
720 Silverleaf RD
Charlotte NC 28217

Re: Application for improvement Permit for property located at 720 Silverleaf RD (Parcel ID # 169-224-09),

Health Department file # 12064B

To Whom It May Concern:

The Mecklenburg County Health Department, Environmental Health Division, on 10/4/24 evaluated the above-referenced property at the site designated on the plat/site plan that accompanied your Improvement Permit application. According to your application the site is to serve a 2-bedroom residence with a design wastewater flow of 240 gallons per day. The evaluation was done in accordance with the laws and rules governing on-site wastewater systems in General Statutes 130A-333 to 345 and 15A NCAC 18E.

Based on the criteria set out in 15A NCAC 18E .0501 through .0602, the evaluation indicated that the site is **UNSUITABLE** for an on-site wastewater system. Therefore, we must deny your request for an Improvement Permit. A copy of the site evaluation is enclosed. The site is unsuitable based on the following:

- ☐ Unsuitable soil topography and/or landscape position (Rule .0502)
- ☒ Unsuitable soil characteristics (structure or clay mineralogy) (Rule .0503)
- ☐ Unsuitable soil wetness condition (Rule .0504)
- ☐ Unsuitable soil depth (Rule .0505)
- ☒ Unsuitable saprolite (Rule .0506)
- ☐ Presence of restrictive horizon (Rule .0507)
- ☐ Insufficient space for septic system and repair area (Rule .0508)
- ☐ Unsuitable for meeting required setbacks (Rule .0601 or .0602)
- ☐ Other (*Cite applicable rule*):_____

_____

_____

These severe soil or site limitations could cause premature system failure, leading to the discharge of untreated sewage on the ground surface, into surface waters, directly to ground water, or inside your structure.

The site evaluation included consideration of possible site modifications, as well as use of all possible systems approved under 15A NCAC 18E. However, the Health Department has determined that none of the above options will overcome the severe conditions on this site. A possible option might be a system designed to dispose of sewage to another area of suitable soil such as off-site to additional property.

For the reasons set out above, the property is currently classified UNSUITABLE, and no Improvement Permit shall be issued for this site in accordance with Rule .0509(a) and (d).

MECKLENBURG COUNTY
North Carolina
Public Health

Mecklenburg County Public Health

Raynard Washington, PhD, MPH
Health Director

A site classified as UNSUITABLE may be classified as SUITABLE if written documentation is provided that meets the requirements of Rule .0509(b) or (c). A copy of this rule is enclosed. You may hire a consultant to assist you if you wish to try to develop a plan under which your site could be reclassified as SUITABLE.

You have a right to an informal review of this decision. You may request an informal review by the soil scientist or environmental health supervisor at the local health department. You may also request an informal review by the Department of Health and Human Services' Regional Soil Scientist. A request for informal review must be made in writing to the local health department.

You also have a right to a formal appeal of this decision. To pursue a formal appeal, you must file a petition for a contested case hearing with the Office of Administrative Hearings, 1711 New Hope Church Rd, Raleigh, NC 27609. To get a copy of a petition form, you may write the Office of Administrative Hearings, call the office at 984-236-1850, or download it from the OAH web site at http://www.oah.nc.gov. The petition for a contested case hearing must be filed in accordance with the provision of General Statutes 130A-24 and 150B-23 and all other applicable provisions of Chapter 150B. General Statute 130A-335(g) provides that your hearing will be held in the county where your property is located.

If you wish to pursue a formal appeal, you must file the petition form with the Office of Administrative Hearings **WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THIS LETTER.** The date of this letter is 10/4/24. Meeting the 30-day deadline is critical to your formal appeal.

If you file a petition for a contested case hearing with the Office of Administrative Hearings, **you are required** by General Statute 150B-23 to serve a copy of your petition on the Registered Agent for the Department of Health and Human Services: Julie Cronin, Office of General Counsel, Department of Health and Human Services, 2001 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, N.C. 27699-2001.

Do not serve the petition on your local health department. Sending a copy of your petition to the local health department will not satisfy the legal requirement in General Statute 150B-23 that you send a copy to the Office of General Counsel, Department of Health and Human Services.

You may call or write the local health department if you need any additional information or assistance.

Sincerely,

April Bumgarner, REHS

April Bumgarner, REHS
Environmental Health Specialist
Groundwater & Wastewater Services | Public Health
Mecklenburg County Government
704-512-9831 | MeckNC.Gov

Enclosures: *(Enclose copy of Rule .0503 & .0506)*

Department of Environment, Health, and Natural Resources
Division of Environmental Health
On-site Wastewater Section

Page 1
Property ID: 169-224-09
Lot #:
File #: 12064B
AppID: 14976

SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
for ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

Owner: Michae Bailey & Deanna Wade-Bailey
Applicant:
Address: 720 Silverleaf RD
Date Evaluated: 10/4/2024
Proposed Facility: SFR
Design Flow (.0400) 240 GPD
Property Size:
Location of Site: 720 Silverleaf RD
Property Recorded:
Water Supply: [X] Public [ ] Individual [ ] Well [ ] Spring [ ] Other
Evaluation Method: [X] Auger Boring [ ] Pit [ ] Cut
Type of Wastewater: [X] Sewage [ ] Industrial Process [ ] Mixed

PROFILE #	.0502 Landscape Position/ Slope%	Horizon Depth (IN.)	SOIL MORPHOLOGY .1941 .0503 Structure/ Texture	SOIL MORPHOLOGY .1941 .0503 Consistence Mineralogy	b PROFILE FACTORS .0504 Soil Wetness/ Color	.0505 Soil Depth (IN.)	.0506 Sapro Class	.0507 Restr Horiz	0.0509 Profile Class & LTAR	0.0502 Slope Correction
1	HS 3%	0-12"	SCL, WSBK	SS, SP, FR, SEXP	N/A	12"	US	N/A	US	
		12-18"	CSAP	S,P,FR, EXP						
		18"		Auger refusal						

Description	Initial System	Repair System
Available Space (.0508)	N/A	US
System Type(s)		
Site LTAR		

Soil Evaluation By: April Bumgarner
Site Classificaton (.0509): US
Evaluated by: April Bumgarner

COMMENTS:

Landscape Position	Group	Texture	.1955 LTAR	Structure
R-Ridge	I	S-Sand	1.2 - 0.8	SG-Single Grain
SS-Shoulder Slope		LS-Loamy Sand		M-Massive
LS-Linear Slope				CR-Crumb
FS-Foot Slope	II	SL-Sandy Loam	0.8 - 0.6	GR-Granular
NS-Nose Slope		L-Loam		SBK-Subangular Blocky
HS-Head Slope				ABK-Angular Blocky
CC-Concave Slope	III	SI-Silt	0.6 - 0.3	PL-Platy
CV-Convex Slope		SICL-Silty Clay Loam		PR-Prismatic
T-Terrace				
FP-Flood Plain		CL-Clay Loam		
		SCL-Sandy Clay Loam		
	IV	SC-Sandy Clay	0.4 - 0.1	
		SIC-Silty Clay		
		C-Clay		

Consistence Moist	Consistence Wet	Mineralogy
VFR-Very Friable	NS-Non-Sticky	SEXP-Slightly Expansive
FR-Friable	SS-Slightly Sticky	EXP-Expansive
FI-Firm	S-Sticky	
VFI-Very Firm	VS-Very Sticky	
EFI-Extremely Firm	NP-Non-Plastic	
	SP-Slightly Plastic	
	P-Plastic	
	VP-Very Plastic	

On-site Wastewater Improvement Permit Diagram



Mecklenburg County Health Department
Environmental Health - Groundwater and Wastewater Services

Permit #: 14976
PID #:169-224-09
File #: 12064B

Authorized Agent: _____ Date: _____

Owner/ Legal Agent: _____ Date: _____

1 inch = 60 fee

(2) a jurisdictional wetland as determined by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers or DEQ, unless the proposed use is approved in writing by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers or DEQ; and

(3) complex slope patterns, such as areas affected by erosion which have rills or evidence of drainage, and slopes dissected by gullies that prohibit the design, installation, maintenance, monitoring, or repair of the wastewater system.

(d) For all sites, except where a drip dispersal system is proposed, additional required soil depth based on slope correction shall be calculated using the following formula to determine site suitability for soil depth in accordance with Rule .0505 of this Section:

SD = MSD + (TW x S)

Where SD = soil depth required with slope correction, in inches
MSD = minimum soil depth, in inches
TW = proposed trench width, in inches
S = percent slope, in decimal form

History Note: *Authority G.S. 130A-335(e).*

15A NCAC 18E .0503 SOIL MORPHOLOGY

The soil morphology shall be evaluated in accordance with the following:

(1) Texture – The texture of each soil horizon in a profile shall be classified into 12 soil textural classes based upon the relative proportions of sand, silt, and clay sized mineral particles. The soil textural class shall be determined in the field by hand texturing samples of each soil horizon in the soil profile in accordance with the criteria in Guide to Soil Texture by Feel, Journal of Agronomic Education, USDA, NRCS. Table IV identifies the Soil Groups that shall be suitable with respect to texture.

Table IV. Soil Groups that are suitable with respect to texture

Soil Group	USDA Soil Textural Class	
I	Sands	Sand
		Loamy Sand
II	Coarse Loams	Sandy Loam
		Loam
III	Fine Loams	Silt
		Silt Loam
		Sandy Clay Loam
		Clay Loam
		Silty Clay Loam
IV	Clays	Sandy Clay
		Silty Clay
		Clay

Laboratory testing of the soil textural class may be substituted for field testing when the laboratory testing is conducted in accordance with ASTM D6913 and D7928. When laboratory testing of soil texture is proposed, the LHD shall be notified a minimum of 48 hours before samples are to be taken by the licensed professional, if required by G.S. 89C, 89E, or 89F. The authorized agent and the licensed professional shall be present when the samples are collected. Samples shall be representative of the soil horizon being evaluated for texture. Split samples shall be made available to the LHD when requested. The licensed professional shall document chain of custody and seal, sign, and date the first page of the report.

(2) Structure – Soil structure shall be determined in the field for each soil horizon in the soil profile and shall be classified and suitability determined in accordance with Table V. If an authorized agent determines that the soil structure cannot be determined from auger borings, pits shall be required.

Table V. Soil structure and associated suitability classification

Structure	Diameter	Classification
Granular	N/A	suitable
Blocky	≤ 1 inch or 2.5 cm	suitable
	> 1 inch or 2.5 cm	unsuitable
Platy	N/A	unsuitable
Prismatic	≤ 2 inches or 5 cm	suitable
	> 2 inches or 5 cm	unsuitable
Absence of structure: Single Grain	N/A	suitable
Absence of Structure: Massive -	N/A	unsuitable

no structural peds		

(3) Clay Mineralogy – Clay mineralogy shall be determined in the field by evaluation of moist and wet soil consistence in accordance with the USDA-NRCS Field Book for Describing and Sampling Soils. The clay mineralogy shall be classified and suitability determined in accordance with Table VI.

Table VI. Clay mineralogy field method results, associated mineralogy, and suitability classification

Soil Consistence	Mineralogy	Classification
Moist		
Loose, very friable	Slightly expansive	suitable
Friable, firm	Slightly expansive	suitable
Very firm or extremely firm	Expansive	unsuitable*
Wet		
Nonsticky, slightly sticky Nonplastic, slightly plastic	Slightly expansive	suitable
Moderately sticky Moderately plastic	Slightly expansive	suitable
Very sticky or very plastic	Expansive	unsuitable*

*If either the moist consistence or wet consistence is unsuitable then clay mineralogy is classified unsuitable.

(a) Laboratory testing of ACEC may be substituted for field testing to determine clay mineralogy. The laboratory testing shall be conducted in accordance with USDA-NRCS Soil Survey Laboratory Information Manual, Soil Survey Investigations Report No. 45, and Kellogg Soil Survey Laboratory Methods Manual, Soil Survey Investigation Report No. 42, page 229, or EPA Method 9080. Table VII shall be used to determine the clay mineralogy suitability when laboratory testing is used. When using laboratory testing to determine clay mineralogy, the clay content of the soil shall be greater than 35 percent and the organic matter component shall be less than 0.5 percent.

Table VII. Clay mineralogy laboratory method results, mineralogy, and associated suitability classification

ACEC in cmol/kg	Mineralogy	Classification
≤ 16.3	Slightly expansive	suitable
> 16.3	Expansive	unsuitable

(b) When laboratory testing of clay mineralogy is proposed, the LHD shall be notified a minimum of 48 hours before samples are to be taken by the licensed professional, if required by G.S. 89C, 89E, or 89F. The authorized agent and the licensed professional shall be present when the samples are collected. Samples shall be representative of the soil horizon being evaluated for clay mineralogy. Split samples shall be made available to the LHD when requested. The licensed professional shall document chain of custody and seal, sign, and date the first page of the report.

(4) Organic Soils - Organic soils shall be considered unsuitable.

History Note: *Authority G.S. 130A-335(e).*

15A NCAC 18E .0504 SOIL WETNESS CONDITION[15]

(a) SWC, such as those caused by a seasonal high-water table, a perched water table, tidal water, seasonally saturated soil, or by lateral water movement, shall be determined by field observations of soil wetness indicators as follows:

(1) the presence of colors with ~~a value 4 or more and~~ a chroma 2 or less using the Munsell Soil Color Book at greater than or equal to two percent of soil volume as redox depletions or as the matrix of a horizon. Colors of chroma 2 or less that are lithochromic features shall not be considered indicative of a SWC; or

(2) the observation or indication of saturated soils, a perched water table, or lateral water movement flowing into a bore hole, monitoring well, or open excavation above a less permeable horizon, that may occur without the presence of colors with a ~~value 4 or more or~~ chroma 2 or less at greater than or equal to two percent of soil volume as redox depletions or as the matrix of a horizon.

(3) The shallowest depth to SWC determined in this Paragraph shall be used.

(b) Initial site suitability as to SWC shall be determined by field observations of soil wetness indicators in accordance with Paragraph (a) of this Rule. Sites where the SWC is less than 12 inches below the naturally occurring soil surface, or less than 18 inches if more than six inches of Group I soils are present, shall be considered unsuitable with respect to SWC.

(c) Monitoring or modeling procedures as set forth in this Rule may be used to reclassify the site as suitable with respect to SWC.

(d) Monitoring or modeling procedures as set forth in this Rule shall be required when the owner proposes to use a wastewater system requiring a greater depth to a SWC than the depth observed by soil wetness indicators in accordance with Paragraph (a) of this Rule.

[15] Changed by S.L. 2023-77, Section 11.

(D) for sites intended to receive greater than 1,500 gpd, the SWC determination using DRAINMOD shall take into consideration the impact of wastewater application on the projected water table surface; and

(E) the DRAINMOD analysis shall be prepared and submitted to the LHD by licensed professionals, if required in G.S. 89C, 89E, or 89F, qualified to use DRAINMOD by training and experience. The LHD or owner may request a technical review by the Department prior to approval of the SWC determination.

The monitoring and modeling procedure may also be used to re-evaluate a SWC that was previously evaluated by the direct monitoring procedure.

(j) Modeling procedures other than those set forth in this Rule may be used to determine SWC upon approval by the Department. Other modeling procedures shall be approved if the following requirements are met:

(1) the modeling procedures use daily water levels or weather records over a 30-year period to predict future daily water levels;

(2) the proposed model and prediction are shown to be as accurate as the prediction from DRAINMOD, calculated in accordance with Paragraph (h) of this Rule; and

(3) documentation is provided in accordance with Rule .0509(c) of this Section.

(k) A report of the investigations made for the direct monitoring procedure, modeling procedure, or monitoring and modeling procedure in accordance with Paragraphs (g), (h), or (i) of this Rule shall be prepared prior to approval of the SWC determination. A request for technical review of the report by the Department shall include digital copies of monitoring data, model inputs, output data, and graphic results, as applicable.

History Note: *Authority G.S. ~~130A-335(e).~~ 130A-335(e); S.L. 2023-77, s.11.*

15A NCAC 18E .0505 SOIL DEPTH

(a) The soil depth shall be measured from the naturally occurring soil surface to rock, saprolite, or parent material.

(b) Soil depth to saprolite, rock, or parent material greater than or equal to 18 inches shall be suitable.

(c) Soil depth to saprolite, rock, or parent material less than 18 inches shall be unsuitable.

History Note: *Authority G.S. 130A-335(e).*

15A NCAC 18E .0506 SAPROLITE[16]

(a) Sites classified unsuitable due to depth to saprolite or other LC may be reclassified suitable in accordance with this Rule.

(b) Saprolite shall be evaluated for suitability by the LHD ~~Sites with saprolite shall be classified as suitable if an investigation of the site~~ using pits at locations approved by the authorized ~~agent~~ agent. An LSS, other than an LSS employed as an authorized agent, may use either borings or pits to evaluate saprolite. Sites with saprolite shall be classified as suitable if ~~confirms that~~ the following conditions are met:

(1) a 24-inch minimum vertical separation shall be maintained in saprolite from the infiltrative surface to an unsuitable LC, unless any of the vertical separation consists of a suitable soil horizon, in which case, the 24-inch separation may be calculated based on one inch of suitable soil being equivalent to two inches of saprolite; and

(2) the following physical properties and characteristics shall be present in the saprolite below the proposed infiltrative surface:

(A) the saprolite texture as determined in the field by hand texturing samples of each horizon shall be sand, loamy sand, sandy loam, loam, or silt loam;

(B) the clay mineralogy shall be suitable in accordance with Rule .0503(3) of this Section;

(C) greater than two-thirds of the saprolite by volume shall have a moist consistence of loose, very friable, friable, or firm;

(D) the saprolite wet consistence shall be nonsticky or slightly sticky and nonplastic or slightly plastic;

(E) the saprolite shall be in an undisturbed, naturally occurring state;

(F) the saprolite shall have no open and continuous joints, quartz veins, or fractures relic of parent rock; and

(G) laboratory determinations may be used to supplement field determinations. Split samples shall be made available to the LHD.

History Note: *Authority G.S. 130A-335(e); S.L. 2015-147, ~~s.3.~~ s.3; S.L. 2023-77, s.12.*

15A NCAC 18E .0507 RESTRICTIVE HORIZONS

(a) Soils in which restrictive horizons are three inches or more in thickness and at depths greater than or equal to 18 inches below the naturally occurring soil surface shall be suitable.

(b) Soils in which restrictive horizons are three inches or more in thickness and at depths less than 18 inches below the naturally occurring soil surface shall be unsuitable.

History Note: *Authority G.S. 130A-335(e).*

[16] Changed by S.L. 2023-77, Section 12.

120648/14976

20 SEP '24 PM 2:22

MECKLENBURG COUNTY
HEALTH DEPARTMENT

APPLICATION FOR A RESIDENTIAL SITE IMPROVEMENT PERMIT/ CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION FOR AN ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM, NEW WELL INSTALLATION, OR REPAIR OF EXISTING WELL

1. SEPTIC / TYPE APPLICATION: ○ N/A ○ NEW SYSTEM ○ ALTERATION ◉ REPAIR ○ MODIFIED PLOT PLAN

2. WELL / TYPE APPLICATION: ○ N/A ○ NEW WELL ○ MAJOR REPAIR ○ ABANDONMENT

3. JOB LOCATION INFORMATION:

TAX PARCEL NUMBER: 16922409
STREET ADDRESS: 720 Silverleaf Rd
CITY: Charlotte
STATE: NC ZIP: 28217
SUBDIVISION NAME: _____
LOT #: _____ LOT SIZE (acres): _____

TOWNSHIP:

○ MECKLENBURG ○ CORNELIUS ○ HUNTERSVILLE
○ DAVIDSON ◉ CHARLOTTE ○ PINEVILLE
○ MATTHEWS ○ MINT HILL

4. BUILDING INFORMATION:

BUILDING TYPE:

○ SINGLE MOBILE HOME ○ MODULAR HOME
○ DOUBLE WIDE ○ MULTIPLE FAMILY
◉ HOUSE ○ OTHER _____

HEATED SQUARE FOOTAGE: 1540
NUMBER OF BEDROOMS: 3
NUMBER OF OCCUPANTS: 2

FOUNDATION TYPE:

○ CONCRETE SLAB ○ BASEMENT WITH PLUMBING
◉ CRAWL SPACE ○ BASEMENT WITH NO PLUMBING

5. IF PLANNING TO EXPAND OR ALTER THE EXISTING SEPTIC SYSTEM PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING SECTION: ☑ N/A

NUMBER OF BEDROOMS TO BE ADDED: _____ NUMBER OF ADDITIONAL OCCUPANTS IN THE RESIDENCE: _____

6. WATER SUPPLY: ◉ MUNICIPAL ○ PRIVATE WELL ○ PUBLIC WELL ○ SHARED WELL ○ OTHER _____

7. PROPOSED USE OF WELL: ◉ N/A ○ IRRIGATION ○ POTABLE (DRINKING WATER) ○ OTHER _____

8. PLEASE INDICATE AND RANK DESIRED SEPTIC SYSTEM TYPE(S) IN ORDER OF PREFERENCE *(1-MOST DESIRED 5-LEAST DESIRED):*

☑ N/A ☐ ANY TYPE ☐ CONVENTIONAL ☐ ACCEPTED ☐ INNOVATIVE ☐ ALTERNATIVE ☐ OTHER _____

8A. RANK: ____ ____ ____ ____ ____

9. DO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING APPLY TO THE PROPERTY IN QUESTION? IF "YES" PLEASE ATTACH SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION.

BURIED CABLES, LANDFILLS, STUMPHOLES	○ YES ◉ NO	GRADING/SOIL REMOVAL PERFORMED	○ YES ◉ NO
EXISTING WASTEWATER (SEPTIC) SYSTEMS	◉ YES ○ NO	JURISDICTIONAL WETLANDS	○ YES ◉ NO
WELLS, SPRINGS, OR WATER LINES	○ YES ◉ NO	APPROVAL REQUIRED BY ANY OTHER PUBLIC AGENCY	○ YES ◉ NO
EASEMENTS OR RIGHT-OF-WAYS	○ YES ◉ NO	WASTEWATER OTHER THAN DOMESTIC SEWAGE	○ YES ◉ NO
CHEMICAL/PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	○ YES ○ NO	KNOWN UNDERGROUND CONTAMINATION	○ YES ◉ NO
WASTE STORAGE	○ YES ◉ NO	GROUNDWATER USE RESTRICTIONS/VARIANCES	○ YES ○ NO

HAS 8211 BEEN CONTACTED AND IDENTIFIED ANY UNDERGROUND UTILITIES ON THE PROPERTY ○ YES ◉ NO

IF YES, PLEASE LIST TICKET REFERENCE NUMBER: VISIT BY DATE:

10. WHAT DATE WAS THE PROPERTY ORIGINALLY DEEDED AND RECORDED? _____ ☑ UNKNOWN

11. WHAT IS THE ANTICIPATED CLOSING DATE FOR THIS PROPERTY? _____ ☑ N/A

12. PROPERTY OWNER:

NAME: Michael Bailey and Deanna Wade-Bailey
ADDRESS: 720 Silverleaf Rd
CITY: Charlotte
STATE: NC ZIP: 28217
WORK PHONE: (704) 975-9817
MOBILE PHONE: (704) 975-9817
FAX: _____
E-MAIL: dwadebailey@yahoo.com

13. OWNER'S LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE, IF APPLICABLE:

Owner's Authorization form is required if legal representative is submitting application

NAME: _____
ADDRESS: _____
CITY: _____
STATE: _____ ZIP: _____
WORK PHONE: _____
MOBILE PHONE: _____
FAX: _____
E-MAIL: _____

MECKLENBURG COUNTY – GROUNDWATER & WASTEWATER SERVICES
3205 Freedom Drive, Suite 8000, Charlotte, NC 28208
OFFICE: 980-314-1680, FAX: 704-336-6894 Email: Wellreg@MecklenburgCountyNC.gov

Revised on: 4/20/17

14. SEPTIC SYSTEM PERMIT APPLICATION:

I have read this application and certify that the information provided herein is true, complete, and correct. Authorized county and state officials are granted right of entry to conduct necessary inspections to determine compliance with applicable laws and rules. I understand that I am solely responsible for the proper identification and labeling of all property lines and corners and making the site accessible so that a complete site evaluation can be performed. **I understand that if the information in the application is falsified, changed, or the site is altered, then the Improvement Permit and/or Construction Authorization shall be invalid. I understand that the permit is valid for either 60 months or without expiration depending upon documentation submitted. (complete site plan = 60 months; complete plat = without expiration)**

DWB (Initial here for septic system applications)

15. WELL PERMIT APPLICATION:

If the information in this application is falsified, changed, or the site is altered, then the Well Permit shall become invalid. I have read this application and certify that the information provided herein is true, complete, and correct. Authorized county and state officials are granted right of entry to conduct necessary inspections to determine compliance with applicable laws and rules. I understand that I am solely responsible for the proper identification and labeling of all property lines and corners and making the site accessible so that a complete site evaluation can be performed. The permit is valid for a period of twelve (12) months from the date of issuance.

_____ (Initial here for well applications)

16. APPLICATION SIGNATURE SECTION:

ONLY COMPLETE APPLICATIONS WILL BE PROCESSED. A proper signature is required in order to process your application. Please review the application carefully and sign in the space provided below. A PROPOSED PLOT PLAN is required to be submitted with each application.

Deanna Wade-Bailey — 9/17/24

Property Owner's or Owner's Legal Representative Signature — Date

---

OFFICE USE ONLY

O SURVEY PLAT TO SCALE SUBMITTED O SCALED SITE PLAN SUBMITTED O UN-SCALED SITE PLAN SUBMITTED

SEPTIC APPLICATION FEE RECEIVED: $ N/a DATE FEE RECEIVED: 9/20/24

WELL APPLICATION FEE RECEIVED: $ N/a DATE FEE RECEIVED: _____

APPLICATION PROCESSED BY: 12016483

GWS FILE NUMBER ASSIGNED: 14976

APPLICATION ID NUMBER ASSIGNED: _____

COMMENTS:

MECKLENBURG COUNTY – GROUNDWATER & WASTEWATER SERVICES
3205 Freedom Drive, Suite 8000, Charlotte, NC 28208
OFFICE: 980-314-1680, FAX: 704-336-6894 Email: Wellreg@MecklenburgCountyNC.gov

File #: 120624B

PROPOSED PLOT PLAN


A drawing showing the locations of the following items is required: existing and proposed property lines with dimensions, easements or right-of-ways, proposed building pad(s) or existing building footprint(s), all appurtenances (garage, driveway, deck, pool, etc.), location of existing or proposed well(s), location of existing or proposed sewer lines and sewage disposal systems, surface water bodies, and any aboveground or underground storage tanks. Provide names for all roads that adjoin the property.

Street Address: 728 Silverleaf Rd

Tax Parcel #: 119-224-09

Subdivision Name: _____

Lot #: _____



Deanna Wade-Bailey

Property Owner's or Owner's Legal Representative Signature

9/17/24

Date

MECKLENBURG COUNTY – GROUNDWATER & WASTEWATER SERVICES
3205 Freedom Drive, Suite 8000, Charlotte, NC 28208
OFFICE: 980-314-1680, FAX: 704-336-6894 Email: Wellreg@MecklenburgCountyNC.gov

Revised on: 4/20/17