MECKLENBURG COUNTY
Health Department

September 21, 2006

Noel Butcher
29313 Hidden Oak Place
Canyon Country, CA 91387

Re: Application for improvement permit for
Property Site: 8220 Tuckalake Dr. Charlotte, NC 28215
Health Department file number: **6415B**

Dear Property Owner or Owner's Agent:

The Mecklenburg County Health Department, Environmental Health Division on September 19, 2006 evaluated the above-referenced property at the site designated on the plat that accompanied your improvement permit application. According to your application the site is to serve a 4 bedroom home, with a daily design flow of 480 gallons per day. The evaluation was done in accordance with the laws and rules governing wastewater systems in North Carolina General Statute 130A-333 and related statutes and Title 15A, Subchapter 18A, of the North Carolina Administrative Code, Rule .1900 and related rules.

Based on the criteria set out in Title 15A, Subchapter 18A, of the North Carolina Administrative Code, Rules .1940 through .1948, the evaluation indicated that the site is **UNSUITABLE** for a ground absorption sewage system. Therefore, your request for an improvement permit is **DENIED.** A copy of the site evaluation is enclosed. The site is unsuitable based on the following:

- ☐ Unsuitable soil topography and/or landscape position (Rule .1940)
- ☐ Unsuitable soil characteristics (structure or clay mineralogy) (Rule .1941)
- ☐ Unsuitable soil wetness condition (Rule .1942)
- ☐ Unsuitable soil depth (Rule .1943)
- ☐ Presence of restrictive horizon (Rule .1944)
- ☒ Insufficient space for septic system and repair area (Rule .1945)
- ☐ Unsuitable for meeting required setbacks (Rule .1950)
- ☐ Other (Rule .1946)

These severe soil or site limitations could cause premature system failure, leading to the discharge of untreated sewage on the ground surface, into surface waters, directly to ground water or inside your structure.

The site evaluation included consideration of possible site modifications, and modified, innovative or alternative systems. However, the Health Department has determined that none of the above options will overcome the severe conditions on this site. A possible option might be a system designed to dispose of sewage to another area of suitable soil or offsite to additional property.

For the reasons set out above, the property is currently classified **UNSUITABLE,** and an improvement permit shall not be issued for this site in accordance with Rule .1948 (c).

However, the site classified as **UNSUITABLE** may be classified as **PROVISIONALLY SUITABLE** if written documentation is provided that meets the requirements of Rule .1948(d). A copy of this rule is enclosed. You may hire a consultant to assist you if you wish to try to develop a plan under which your site could be reclassified as **PROVISIONALLY SUITABLE.**

You have a right to an informal review of this decision. You may request an informal review by the soil scientist or environmental health supervisor at the local health department. You may also request an informal review by the N. C. Department of Environment and Natural Resources regional soil specialist. A request for informal review must be made in writing to the local health department.

You also have a right to a formal appeal of this decision. To pursue a formal appeal, you must file a petition for a contested case hearing with the Office of Administrative Hearings, 6714 Mail Center, Raleigh, NC 27699-6714. To get a copy of a petition form, you may write the Office of Administrative Hearings or call the office at (919) 733-0926 or from the OAH web site at www.oah.state.nc.us/form.htm. The petition for a contested case hearing must be filed in accordance with the provision of North Carolina General Statutes 130A-24 and 150B-23 and all other applicable provisions of Chapter 150B. N. C. General Statue 130A-335(g) provides that your hearing would be held in the county where your property is located.

Please note: If you wish to pursue a formal appeal, you must file the petition form with the Office of Administrative Hearings **WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THIS LETTER.** The date of this letter is September 21, 2006. Meeting the 30-day deadline is critical to your right to a formal appeal. Beginning a formal appeal within 30 days will not interfere with any informal review that you might request. Do not wait for the outcome of any informal review if you wish to file a formal appeal.

If you file a petition for a contested case hearing with the Office of Administrative Hearings, you are required by law (N.C. General Statute 150B-23) to send a copy of your petition to the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources. Send the copy to: Office of General Counsel, N. C. Department of Environment and Natural Resources, 1601 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, NC. 27699-1601. Do **NOT** send the copy of the petition to your local health department. Sending a copy of your petition to the local health department will **NOT** satisfy the legal requirement in N.C. General Statute 150B-23 that you send a copy to the Office of General Counsel, NCDENR.

You may call or write the local health department if you need any additional information or assistance.

Sincerely,

David Cornelius, R.S.
Authorized Agent - DENR

Encl: (2)

Department of Environment, Health, and Natural Resources
Division of Environmental Health
On-site Wastewater Section

Sheet: 1 of 2
Property ID: 111-114-07
Lot #: 18, 19
File #: 6415B
AppID: 1409
Code: 2

SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
for ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

Owner: William McCall
Applicant: Cathy Finnie
Address: 8300 Tuckalake Drive, Charlotte, N. C. 28215
Date Evaluated: 9/18/06
Proposed Facility: Residence
Design Flow (.1949): 480gpd
Property Size: 1.0a
Location of Site: 8220 Tuckalake Drive
Property Recorded:
Water Supply: [ ] Public [x] Individual [x] Well [ ] Spring [ ] Other
Evaluation Method: [x] Auger Boring [ ] Pit [ ] Cut
Type of Wastewater: [x] Sewage [ ] Industrial Process [ ] Mixed

PROFILE #	.1940 Landscape Position/ Slope%	Horizon Depth (IN.)	SOIL MORPHOLOGY .1941 .1941 Structure/ Texture	.1941 Consistence Mineralogy	b PROFILE FACTORS .1942 Soil Wetness/ Color	.1943 Soil Depth (IN.)	.1956 Sapro Class	.1944 Restr Horiz	Profile Class & LTAR
1	LS, 8	0-5	GR/CL	FR/SEXP/SS/SP	>50" TO SOIL WETNESS	50+	N/A	NONE	PS, IV
		5-50	SBK/C	FI/SEXP/S/P					.3GPD/FT^2
2	"	0-5	GR/CL	FR/SEXP/SS/SP	>48" TO SOIL WETNESS	48+	"	"	"
		5-48	SBK/C	FI/SEXP/S/P					
3	"	0-5	GR/CL	FR/SEXP/SS/SP	"	"	"	"	"
		5-48	SBK/C	FI/SEXP/S/P					

Description	Initial System	Repair System
Available Space (.1945)	US	
System Type(s)		
Site LTAR	.3GPD/FT^2	

Other Factors (.1946):
Site Classification (.1948): UNSUITABLE
Evaluated By: W. R. Marlin, LSS, 9/19/2006, W. R. Marlin
Others Present:

COMMENTS:

Does not appear that 100% repair is required

Landscape Position	Group	Texture	.1955 LTAR	Structure
R-Ridge	I	S-Sand	1.2 - 0.8	SG-Single Grain
SS-Shoulder Slope		LS-Loamy Sand		M-Massive
LS-Linear Slope				CR-Crumb
FS-Foot Slope	II	SL-Sandy Loam	0.8 - 0.6	GR-Granular
NS-Nose Slope		L-Loam		SBK-Subangular Blocky
HS-Head Slope				ABK-Angular Blocky
CC-Concave Slope	III	SI-Silt	0.6 - 0.3	PL-Platy
CV-Convex Slope		SICL-Silty Clay Loam		PR-Prismatic
T-Terrace				
FP-Flood Plain		CL-Clay Loam		
		SCL-Sandy Clay Loam		
	IV	SC-Sandy Clay	0.4 - 0.1	
		SIC-Silty Clay		
		C-Clay		

Consistence Moist	Consistence Wet	Mineralogy
VFR-Very Friable	NS-Non-Sticky	SEXP-Slightly Expansive
FR-Friable	SS-Slightly Sticky	EXP-Expansive
FI-Firm	S-Sticky	
VFI-Very Firm	VS-Very Sticky	
EFI-Extremely Firm	NP-Non-Plastic	
	SP-Slightly Plastic	
	P-Plastic	
	VP-Very Plastic	

Sketch of Soil Evaluation Locations

